

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

IN RE TESLA, INC. SECURITIES  
LITIGATION

Case No. 18-cv-04865-EMC

Hon. Kandis A. Westmore

**LETTERS ROGATORY**

To: The Judicial Authorities of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

The United States District Court for the Northern District of California presents its compliments to the judicial authorities of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and has the honor to request assistance in connection with a civil action against Tesla, Inc. (“Tesla”), Tesla’s Chief Executive Officer, Elon Musk, and the members of Tesla’s board of directors (collectively, the “Defendants”).

The Plaintiff in the lawsuit, Glen Littleton, is a shareholder of Tesla who brings this federal class action lawsuit on behalf of a class of shareholders who purchased or sold Tesla securities from August 7, 2018 to August 17, 2018 (the “Class Period”) and were damaged thereby. Plaintiff claims that Musk and Tesla violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. §78j, and SEC Rule 10b-5, 17 C.F.R. 240.10b-5, and that Tesla’s Board of Directors violated Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. §78t. According to the lawsuit, these violations caused Plaintiff and the class billions of dollars of damages for which Defendants should be held liable. Defendants have denied the allegations.

Plaintiff’s allegations concern communications made by Musk and Tesla about a potential going private transaction. On August 7, 2018, at 12:48 p.m. ET, Musk tweeted the following message to over 22 million followers: “Am considering taking Tesla private at \$420. Funding secured.” Musk continued to tweet and make statements about the potential transaction including tweeting about three hours later: “Investor support is confirmed. Only reason why this is not certain

1 is that it's contingent on a shareholder vote." Plaintiff alleges that further misrepresentations were  
 2 made on August 13, 2018 before the potential transaction was terminated on August 24, 2018. As  
 3 alleged by Plaintiff, Musk had discussed taking Tesla private with representatives of Saudi Arabia's  
 4 sovereign wealth fund (named the "Public Investment Fund"). As part of an investigation into Musk  
 5 and Tesla's statements by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission arising out of  
 6 some of the same statements that are at issue in this proceeding, the Public Investment Fund  
 7 produced documents showing that a meeting occurred on July 31, 2018 at the Tesla Factory in San  
 8 Francisco, California. The meeting minutes indicate that H.E. Yasir Al-Rumayyan, Saad Al Jarboa,  
 9 and Nai Al Mogren attended on behalf of the Public Investment Fund and that Elon Musk, Deepak  
 10 Ahuja, and Sam Teller attended on behalf of Tesla.

11 This Court respectfully requests that the judicial authorities of Saudi Arabia summon H.E.  
 12 Yasir Al-Rumayyan, Saad Al Jarboa, and Nai Al Morgren, and put each of the following to  
 13 questions to each of the three witnesses:

- 14 1. Did you attend a meeting with representatives of Tesla on July 31, 2018 in San  
 15 Francisco?
- 16 2. Who else attended the meeting?
- 17 3. Are the minutes of the meeting attached to this Letter Rogatory as Exhibit 1 an accurate  
 18 record of what was said at the meeting?
- 19 4. Was anything said at the meeting that is not reflected in the minutes?
- 20 5. Did the Public Investment Fund agree, during the meeting or afterward, to purchase  
 21 shares of Tesla stock or otherwise to increase the PIF's investment in Tesla?
- 22 6. Did you or other representatives of the PIF have discussions or communications with  
 23 Mr. Musk or other representatives of Tesla July 31, 2018 and August 18, 2018? If so,  
 24 what was the substance of those discussions or communications?
- 25 7. Since 2010, in which technology and/or energy companies has PIF invested or  
 26 considered investing? For each technology and/or energy company in which PIF is  
 27 currently invested, how large is PIF's investment, and how much voting power does  
 28 PIF possess?

- 1           8. What is PIF's investment strategy? Has PIF's investment strategy changed since
- 2           2010? If so, how and why?
- 3           9. What are PIF's strategic objectives? Have PIF's strategic objectives changed since
- 4           2010? If so, how and why?
- 5           10. How does PIF advance the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's strategic objectives, including
- 6           its national security objectives? Have PIF's efforts to advance the Kingdom of Saudi
- 7           Arabia's strategic objectives, including its national security objectives, changed since
- 8           2010? If so, how and why?
- 9           11. How does PIF's investing in technology and/or energy companies advance PIF's and
- 10          the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia's strategic objectives, including its national security
- 11          objectives?
- 12          12. How and to what extent are you and PIF's other Executives, Board Members, and
- 13          Chairman compensated by PIF? How and to what extent are your family members
- 14          and the family members of PIF's other Executives, Board Members, and Chairman
- 15          compensated by PIF?
- 16          13. How much money have each of you and PIF's other Executives, Board Members, and
- 17          Chairman invested in PIF? How much money have each of your family members and
- 18          the family members of PIF's other Executives, Board Members, and Chairman
- 19          invested in PIF?
- 20          14. Are meetings among PIF's Executives, Board Members, and/or Chairman concerning
- 21          actual and/or potential PIF investments recorded, transcribed, and/or otherwise
- 22          documented? If so, by whom are those recordings, transcriptions, and/or other
- 23          documents stored?

24          Any expenses incurred by the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and/or H.E. Yasir Al-Rumayyan,  
25          Saad Al Jarboa, and Nai Al Mogren in executing the letters rogatory will be paid by counsel for the  
26          Plaintiff.

27          The Court requests that the testimony of the witnesses be taken under oath and transcribed  
28          verbatim. If a verbatim transcript is not possible, the Court requests that a written summary of the

1 questions and answers be provided. The Court also requests that representatives of the Plaintiff and  
 2 Defendants be permitted to attend the questioning, to make notes of what is said during the  
 3 questioning, and, to the extent permissible under the law of Saudi Arabia, to put follow-up questions  
 4 to the witnesses, either directly or through the court.

5 The United States District Court for the Northern District of California assures the judicial  
 6 authorities of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia that the courts of the United States are authorized by  
 7 statute, 28 U.S.C. §1782, *et seq.*, to assist foreign tribunals in the execution of similar requests.  
 8 Further, the Court respectfully requests that in view of the May 31, 2022 trial date, the judicial  
 9 authorities consider this request for international judicial assistance to be time-sensitive.

10  
 11  
 12 January 29, 2021  
 13 Date

